



S-NPP CrIS Full Spectral Resolution SDR Processing and Quality Assessment

Yong Han¹, Yong Chen² and Xiaozhen Xiong³ ¹NOAA Center for Satellite Application and Research, College Park, MD ²University of Maryland, College Park, MD ³Earth Resources Technology, Inc, Laurel, MD 4-8 January, 2015, AMS Annual Meeting, Phoenix, Arizona

JPSS CrIS SDR Science Team

Acknowledgement to the contributions of JPSS CrIS SDR Science Team

Organization	PI
NOAA Center for Satellite Applications & Research (NOAA/STAR)	Yong Han (team lead)
University of Wisconsin (UW)	Hank Revercomb
University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC)	Larrabee Strow
Space Dynamics Laboratory/Utah State University (SDL)	Deron Scott
Massachusetts Institute of Technology/Lincoln Labs (MIT/LL)	Dan Mooney
Exelis-ITT	Lawrence Suwinski
Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems	Degui Gu
Logistikos Engineering	Joe Predina
NASA	Dave Johnson
Raytheon	Wael Ibrahim

Outline

- S-NPP CrIS normal and full spectral resolution (FSR) mode measurements
- FSR SDR processing and data accessibility
- Preliminary assessment of FSR SDR data quality
- Summary and future work

Normal and FSR Mode Measurements



interferograms to calibrated spectra

• Spectral resolution defined as $1/(2 \cdot Max_OPD)$

OPD [cm]

SDR Processing before and after S-NPP CrIS Transition to FSR Mode

- S-NPP CrIS turned to FSR mode on Dec 4, 2014
- The NOAA operational SDR processing system (IDPS) continues to produce normal mode SDRs by truncating the MW and SW interferograms
- An offline processing system provides FSR SDRs

Beginning S-N Ma	Beginning S-NPP CrIS measurements CrIS transitio March, 2012 Dec. 4	
NOAA IDPS	Normal mode SDRs	Normal mode SDRs
FIOCESSING	NOAA STAR offline processing	FSR mode SDRs

JPSS/NPP CrIS SDR Processing Software & Data

• (Official) Interface Data Processing Segment (IDPS):

normal resolution SDRs archived on CLASS

• NOAA/STAR modified Algorithm Development Library (ADL), based on IDPS code of version Mx8.5, Block2.0:

full spectral resolution SDRs available to the public at https://ftp2.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/smcd/xxiong/

• Same SDR format

CrIS Full Resolution SDR Spectral Parameters

Blue: normal resolution

Frequency Band	Spectral Range (cm ⁻¹)	Number of Channel	Spectral Resolution (cm ⁻¹)
LWIR	650 to 1095	713 (<mark>713</mark>)	0.625 (<mark>0.625</mark>)
MWIR	1210 to 1750	865 (<mark>433</mark>)	0.625 (<mark>1.25</mark>)
SWIR	2155 to 2550	633 (<mark>159</mark>)	0.625 (<mark>2.5</mark>)

Number of FSR channels: 2211 Number of normal resolution channels: 1305

Examples of Measured Spectra



Algorithm Updates for FSR Processing



- Spectral calibration algorithm changes:
 - The resampling matrices now always follow the laser metrology wavelength λ measurements, in stead of being updated when λ varies by more than 2 ppm
 - The resampling and self-apodization matrix calculation algorithms are modified to reduce spectral ringing artifacts
- NEdN algorithm change:
 - Spectral calibration (CMO operation) is applied to radiance noise (NEdN) calculation

Self-Apodization Correction Algorithm Update for FSR Processing

FSR SW band spectra calibrated with expansion factors 1.1 (black) and 2.0 (red)



The self-apodization (SA) matrix expansion factor is increased from 1.1 to 2.0 for the MW and SW bands to reduce ringing artifacts

NEdN Algorithm Update

Unlike the normal resolution SDRs, noise levels of FSR spectra in MW and SW bands are significantly increased by self-apodization (SA) correction:



The FSR processing code includes SA correction in the NEdN calculation

(the noise increase is first noticed by Lawrence Suwinski of Exelis)

Preliminary Data Quality Assessment

FSR Spectral Calibration Accuracy



Radiometric calibration algorithms and coefficients have not been changed in the normal resolution to FSR SDR software development

Normal resolution SDR RU was evaluated during the CrIS SDR Cal/Val process:



FSR Radiance Bias



- Clear-sky spectra over ocean
- Simulation calculated with CRTM and ECMWF profiles
- Large bias during daytime mainly due to CRTM errors in NLTE calculations

Reasonable agreement between CrIS observation and simulation, similar to that obtained from normal resolution data

FSR Radiance Noise (NEdN)



The spread of NEdN among FOVs on unapodized spectra is due to SA correction (see also slide 11) The portion of NEdN introduced by SA correction is almost completely removed with Hamming apodization

SA – self-apodiztion

FSR SDR Processing Success Rate

1500 cm⁻¹ channel radiance 12/12/2014



NPP CrIS Brightness Temperature, 6.7 µm (1500 cm⁻¹), Mapped, Descending, 12/12/2014



MW band overall quality flag: Blue – Good, Green – Degraded,

Red: Invalid 12/12/2014

NPP CrIS Mid Wave SDR Overall Quality Flag, Mapped, Ascending, 12/12/2014 (Blue: Good; Green: Degraded; Red: Invalid) Updated at Dec 13 13:41:39 2014 UTC



NPP CrIS Mid Wave SDR Overall Quality Flag, Mapped, Descending, 12/12/2014



The success rate to produce valid SDRs is better than 99.9%

Summary & Future Work

- Since the CrIS transition to FSR mode on Dec 4, 2014, an offline ADL-based FSR SDR processing system has been processing the raw data into FSR SDRs available to the public
- Spectral calibration and NEdN algorithms were modified for FSR SDR processing
- Preliminary assessment shows expected SDR data quality
- We will work with JPSS managers to reduce SDR latency from the current 12-24 hours to 90 minutes
- We will continue working with the CrIS SDR Science team to improve the SDR algorithms and software